# **Boundary Process**

# 17/18 Committee Meeting #1

Presented on January 30, 2018



# **Discussion Points**

# Introductions (Part One)

- About RSP
- Committee Member Background

# Committee Information (Part Two)

- Boundary Process Detail and Roles
- Presentation Goals
- Activity Ice Breaker
- Activity Conduct/ Ground Rules
- Criteria for the Process
- Considerations

# Enrollment, Development, and Demographics (Part Three)

- Sophisticated Forecast Model
- Model Components
- Visuals of Enrollment and Demographics
- Activity Map Exercise
- Committee Member Feedback

# Moving Forward (Part Four)

- Parking Lot
- Public Forum Information
- Committee Member Knowledge
- Homework



# **Part One:** Introductions

# **About RSP**

- Founded in 2003
- Professional educational planning firm
- Expertise in multiple disciplines
- Over 20 Years of planning experience
- Over 80 years of education experience
- Over 20 years of GIS experience
- Clients in Arkansas, Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, and Wisconsin
- Projection accuracy of 97% or greater

### Planning

**Robert Schwarz** CEO, AICP, REFP, CEFP

**Grant Lang** Planning Coordinator

### Educators

Clay Guthmiller Education Planner

**Jay Harris** Education Planner, EdS

Dave Stoakes Education Planner, EdD

### **GIS** Analyst

**Tyler Link** GIS Analyst

Brandon Sylvester GIS Analyst







# **Committee Introductions** (Activity 1)

### At your Table with the person on your

# right ask them the following question:

- Which team are you hoping wins the Super Bowl?
- If they do not care or will not watch what will you be doing
   During the report out, state the

following:

- Persons Name
- What Elementary Attendance area

the person resides

## Time Limit – 15 Minutes



(Changing boundaries is emotional and not supported by everyone)

# **Questions with Clickers**

Questions and the use of the Clickers are to help RSP, Board of Education, Administration, and the public better understand what you may be thinking about various issues at this point in the process:

- Keeping your mind engaged
- Get immediate feedback
- Answers will help with future discussions



# **Demographics Question #1**

# I have lived in the district:

- A. 0 to 3 years
- B. 3 to 6 years
- C. 6 to 9 years
- D. > 10 years
- E. Do not live in the district



46.5%

# Committee Response 01/30/18

# **Demographics Question #2**

# The following describes my affiliation with the district:



You can choose up to three answers

# Committee Response 01/30/18

A.

Β.

C.

D.

# **Demographics Question #3**

# The following are the grade levels of my children and/or grandchildren:

A. K-5

- B. 6-7
- **C.** 8-9

10

- D. 10-12
- E. Graduated
- F. No students

You can choose up to four answers

Committee Response 01/30/18



Β.

Α.

С.

D.

Ε.

F.

# **Demographic Question #4**

# To the best of my ability, I agree to attend every committee meeting :



# Committee Response 01/30/18

A.

Β.

C.

D.

E.

# **Demographic Question #5**

To the best of my ability, I will keep my mind open to solutions that may end up impacting my family, my friends or my neighborhood:

Absolutely Somewhat Not Likely Not at All

Α.

83.7%

0.0%

С.

Β.

0.0%

D.

0.0%

Ε.

Committee Response 01/30/18

A.

Β.

C.

D.

Ε.

Mostly

# **Part Two:** Committee Information

# **Presentation Goals**

- 1. Provide information that will help guide a Boundary Committee discussion for the Elementary and Middle School Attendance area realignment:
  - Boundary Process
  - Boundary Scope and Boundary Criteria
  - General information about Enrollment and Demographics
- 2. Provide a transparent dialogue between RSP, Administration, BOE, and Committee so the public will better understand the timing for proposed changes and reasons why adjustments to current boundary lines will need to occur in the future

# Conduct/Ground Rules (Activity 2)

At your Table discuss what you think all committee members should adhere to at each meeting:

- 1. Each table will report out one item
- 2. We will go around each table until all the ideas are reported

Committee will vote by a show of hands if they agree with the list of items that each committee should adhere to at each meeting **Time Limit – 5 Minutes** 



(Rules assist with creating a safe environment for everyone)

# **Parking Lot**



- 1. Place to put questions about items you would like answered
- 2. Place to put general comments

3. Answers by either RSP or Administration prior to the next committee meeting

# **Process Roles**

**Board of Education:** Provide the framework of the process, community values, prioritized boundary criteria, receive the Committee recommendation, listen to community input, and after more discussion approve attendance areas for Radiant ES scheduled to open in the 2019/20 school year, the MS for either the 2019/20 or the 2021/22 school year, and HS#2 scheduled to open in the 2021/22 school year.

**Administration:** Provide guidance over the process, attend the committee meetings and public forums, be a resource in answering questions related to school district related topics, communicate the educational vision, and provide ongoing progress updates to the school community through a targeted communication plan.

**RSP:** Facilitator (Board, Committee, and Public Forums). Utilize GIS data, knowledge gained from city jurisdictions and others to create accurate enrollment projections and generate scenarios based on the committee feed back to the Board community values and prioritized boundary criteria.

**Committee:** Examine scenarios presented and evaluate based on the community values and prioritized boundary criteria so a recommendation can be provide to the Board of Education. Focus is not on knowing where students reside, but rather the community values and prioritized boundary criteria

**<u>Community</u>**: Review the scenarios and provide constructive feedback so the committee and/or Board can consider how any of these ideas might benefit the boundary plan that will be implemented



Communication Web Address Locator Tool and Final Maps

Winter 2018

#### COMPREHENSIVE BOUNDARY PROCESS

KEY

**Board of Education Action** 

Public Input Opportunity Committee Work

RSP

November 28, 2017

Consultant Assistance Staff Action

Proposed Community Engagement

### **Process Detail**



- **3** Board of Education Meetings
- 6 Committee Meetings
- 2 Public Forums
- Starts January 2018
- Completed December 2018

# Academics, Culture, Economics (ACE)



#### June 2017 BOE Responses:

- Relationship between all three and the impact they have on each other
- It is a framework that starts the larger boundary discussion
- Not focused on a physical building or space
- Provides balance and prevents tunnel vision
- Keeps everyone focused on what is important: (Students, Staff, Families, and Community\_

# **Guiding Principles**

### The following are to be considered:

- 1. All the Boundary Criteria are important generally believe an unstated result of the boundary changes are to balance enrollment with the capacity of the school, as well as not adding additional fiscal costs for buildings or staffing.
- 2. The boundary should reflect providing better educational opportunities at each school for there to be an equitable student experience at each school.
- 3. Provide some flexibility in the boundary analysis for the committee to examine a K-5, 6-8, 9-12 grade configuration and the use of Vince Meyer as a temporary over flow.
- 4. The committee recognizes the power of a neighborhood to create community and attendance areas.
- 5. The boundary can anticipate future growth of the neighborhood (Allow areas of high growth to grow into capacity of the school).
- 6. The boundary proposed should utilize all of the available district resources do not increase capital costs to increase capacity.
- 7. Consider boundary lines that follow natural/manmade boundaries do not split neighborhoods.
- 8. Demographics should be a part of the discussion for reasonable equity and similar student experience within the idea of neighborhood schools.
- 9. If a feeder has to be split that split should happen from elementary school to middle school
- 10. Grandfathering/Transfers/Student Options are determined by Administration.

# **Boundary Criteria**

## The following are always to be considered:

- Exceptional education must take place at each facility in every option
- The goal is to minimize subjective comments and rumors in order to obtain BOE goals and priorities, and yet provide for the educational need of each student

### Boundary Criteria Example: (Alphabetized)

- 1. Contiguous Attendance Areas
- 2. Demographic Considerations
- 3. Duration of Boundaries
- 4. Feeder System Considerations
- 5. Fiscal Consideration Capital
- 6. Fiscal Consideration Operational
- 7. Neighborhoods Intact
- 8. Projected Enrollment/Building Utilization
- 9. Students Impacted by Boundary Change
- 10. Transportation Considerations

### **Attendance Areas**



### **Non-Contiguous**

## Contiguous

- When it is possible, contiguous attendance areas should be maintained.
- Compact grouping of planning areas should be maintained.
- All areas of the district should be assigned to an ES/MS/HS attendance area

### **Goal** – Have all areas compact (Visually Understandable)



## **Demographics**

- Demographic diversity could be examined to minimize overloading any school with students that may require significant resources.
- These variables could include census HH salary average, home values, ethnicity, or housing products.

**Goal** – Balance demographics or other variables so similar type of student demographics at each school.



### **Duration of Boundaries**

- This factor addresses the ability of an attendance area to accommodate the anticipated enrollments for a projected period of time.
- Where possible, attendance areas should be stabilized to limit the number of boundary changes experienced by students.
- In established areas with little or no demographic change projected, boundaries should be planned to last for a significant period of time.

**Goal** – Have attendance areas remain the same for as long as possible.

### Feeder System



### **Incomplete Feeder**

Where possible, create boundaries between elementary, junior high, and high schools in an effort to have as many schools as possible at each educational level advance students as one group to the next higher educational level. Example illustrates an Incomplete ES to MS Feeder, Woodland Hills ES students attend both middle schools



### **Complete Feeder**

When changing boundaries, where possible, avoid small numbers of students being moved from a larger group when transitioning from elementary to junior high school or from junior high to high school.

### Goal – Have each elementary school feed into only one middle school

# **Feeder Information**

A feeder is not new for Waukee since each elementary feeds into a 6-7 school which than feeds into a 8-9 school. Having an 8-9 (or other grade configuration) feeding into a high school when the 2<sup>nd</sup> high school is built is what will be new for the community.



#### **UPDATE:**

Board prefers a complete feeder, but if there will need to be a break (Likely this happens), it should be from elementary school to middle school

#### Listed below are the BOE comments about a feeder from the June 2017 Meeting:

- Focus on academic program for students
- Grade level configuration could be an issue
- Perceptions of what a feeder means
- Maintaining Neighborhoods
- Not knowing the impact this may have on a final plan

# **Fiscal Consideration**

# <u>Capital</u>:

- The impact on capital costs should be a consideration.
- This factor addresses:
  - New facility construction
  - Building additions and/or remodeling
  - Mobile classrooms
  - Demountable wall relocations
  - Other capital costs.

# **Operational**:

- Where possible, boundaries should be planned to maximize district resources in a fiscally responsible manner and take advantage of economies of scale.
- This factor addresses:
  - Staffing requirements
  - Educational program needs
  - Other operational costs



### **Goal** – To minimize additional expenses needed to staff each school.



### **Neighborhoods Intact**

- Where possible, boundaries should be structured to maintain a neighborhood within one school's attendance area.
- Neighborhoods should not be split between two schools.
- A neighborhood is defined as the smallest division of a planning area that can be subdivided by a natural line of demarcation, such as a stream or major traffic way.
- There should be logical limits to define a neighborhood.

**Goal** – Have each planning area as defined by RSP (neighborhood) attend one school – not split between two schools.

# **Projected Enrollment**

School	School	Student	Past	School Enrol	Iment		Projection	ns Based on	Residence	
	Capacity	Location	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
Brookvi ew Elementary		Reside/Attend			653					
K to 5th	725	Reside	564	630	663	681	700	707	711	716
		Attend	567	62.2	656					
Eason Elementary		Reside/Attend			581	8				
K to 5th	675	Reside	603	629	634	637	638	621	623	619
		Attend	564	576	588	0.000				
Grant Ragan	8	Reside/Attend			673	0		9		
K to 5th	750	Reside	0	652	677	787	902	1,012	1,123	1,228
	and the second sec	Attend	0	661	679					e compañía a co
Maple Grove Elementary		Reside/Attend			641					
K to 5th	750	Reside	711	656	644	627	629	636	640	656
		Attend	735	708	704					
Shul er Elementary		Reside/Attend			679					
K to 5th	750	Reside	781	680	681	697	704	715	728	726
		Attend	784	688	685					
Walnut Hills Elementary		Reside/Attend			656			2 ×		
PreK to 5th	750	Reside	876	591	664	661	660	651	650	658
		Attend	878	587	658	0 0	214500 B	a	1.001.0011	ŝ.
Waukee Elementary		Reside/Attend			746					
PreK to 5th	750	Reside	720	698	752	763	792	825	845	873
	80	Attend	730	694	754					ý.
Woodland Hills Elementary		Reside/Attend			563					
PreK to 5th	750	Reside	555	537	570	610	657	705	762	830
		Attend	552	537	566					

- This factor considers building utilization, student enrollment, staffing needs and the educational program(s).
- Where possible, attendance boundaries should be created to anticipate the projected enrollment and the program/current capacity of the building.
- Efficient building utilization should attempt to maximize student population without exceeding capacity long-term.

**Goal** – Have a balanced, logical enrollment that works within the confines of the assigned school capacity.

# **Students Impacted Boundary Change**

School	K	1	2	3	4	5	K-5
Brookview Elementary	7	4	6	6	4	5	32
Eason Elementary	8	6	6	6	8	7	42
Maple Grove Elementary	9	7	6	8	7	5	42
Shuler Elementary	5	4	4	4	4	3	24
Walnut Hills Elementary	3	5	3	2	4	3	20
Waukee Elementary	5	4	6	3	4	2	24
Woodland Hills Elementary	1	2	3	1	1	2	10

\* Above numbers are an illustration of SIBC

- SIBC determines the number of students that will be impacted by a boundary change.
- Where possible, minimize the number of existing students impacted by a boundary change.
- Consideration should be given the number of students affected by a potential boundary change, specifically how many students from one particular school could be affected.

### **Goal** – Relocate as few students as possible



### **Transportation**

- Road Classifications are the first consideration in transportation planning.
- Proximity to school is an indicator of travel time, need to account for actual travel times (speed limit, stops, etc.)
- While students may not necessarily attend the closest school; distance, transportation time, and routing should be considered, and minimized where possible, in formulating attendance boundaries.

**Goal** – Have attendance areas that do not require additional transportation expenses and does not result in unreasonable time for a student on a bus.

# **Past Boundary Criteria**

### Below are the top four BOE prioritized on April 2, 2009:

- 1. Projected Enrollment and Building Utilization (Balance enrollment with given building capacity constraints)
- 2. Neighborhoods Intact (Defined as RSP planning areas)
- 3. Feeder System (Complete all ES attendance area to one MS)
- 4. Contiguous Planning Areas (Compact as reasonably possible)

### Below are the top three BOE prioritized on April 27, 2015:

- 1. Neighborhoods Intact (Defined as RSP planning areas)
- 2. Projected Enrollment and Building Utilization (Balance enrollment with given building capacity constraints)
- 3. Duration of Boundaries (Have them last as long as possible)

### Reasoning for Boundary Criteria Changes between years:

- 1. In 2009 there was no real discussion about secondary attendance areas
- 2. The 2015 boundary changes reinforced how the neighborhoods were critical to the creation of attendance areas
- 3. Also in 2015 with a boundary change happening six years earlier, the Board wanted to have attendance areas that could have longer duration

# **Boundary Criteria for Process**

### Below are the top three BOE prioritized Elementary Criteria (January 23, 2018):

- 1. Neighborhoods Intact (Defined as RSP planning areas)
- 2. Duration of Boundaries (Have them last as long as possible)
- 3. Demographic Considerations (Balance demographics for general similarity between schools)

### Below are the top three BOE prioritized Secondary Criteria (January 23, 2018):

- 1. Feeder System (Complete all ES to one MS and one MS to one HS)
- 2. Demographic Considerations (Balance demographics for general similarity between schools)
- 3. Projected Enrollment and Building Utilization (Balance enrollment with given building capacity constraints)

### Reasoning for Criteria:

- 1. All of the boundary criteria are important the prioritized top three for elementary and the secondary are the framework to evaluate the options created
- 2. If a split in the feeder is needed have the split should happen from elementary school to middle school
- 3. Balancing of demographics important to ensure similar student experience in each high school feeder

# **Part Three:** Enrollment, Development, and Demographics

# **Sophisticated Forecast Model**

This is the central focus of everything RSP does. The model is based on what is happening in a school district. The best data is statistically analyzed to provide an accurate enrollment forecast. The District will be able to use RSP's report and maps to better understand demographic trends, school utilization, and the timing of construction projects.

**Built-Out** 

$$S_{c,t,x} = S_{c-1,t-1,x} * GC$$

- = The number of students, either an actual count or a projected count
- A subscript denoting an attendance area in the School District
- = Grade level
- = Time (Years)

-

 Growth component either modeling enrollment increase or decrease based on historical information, expressed as a real number

Developing

$$W_{\text{here:}} = S_{c-1, t-1, x} + (BP_{t, x} \cap R_{c, x})$$

$$W_{\text{here:}} = \left( \frac{(CP_{x}) (BT_{x}) (A_{x})}{\sum x (CP_{x}) (BT_{x}) (A_{x})} \right) * CT$$

Let: S

Let:

GC

- The number of students, either an actual count or a projected count
- A subscript denoting an attendance area in the School District
- = Grade level
- t = Time (Years)
- BP = Building permit forecast as given by the Building Permit Allocation Model (BPAM) model
- Rc, x = Student enrollment ratio of cohort c in planning area x
- CP = Capacity of a planning area as expressed by available housing units
- 3T = Building history trend of a planning area
- A = An index which models the likelihood of development
- CT = Building permit control total forecast

# **Model Components**

- Cohort Growth
- External Growth
- Kindergarten Change
- Economic Scenarios



Students & People Development Streets Attendance Areas City County





# **Past Enrollment**

Enrollment By Grade															
Year	К	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	Total	Change
2000/01	260	233	198	197	220	161	173	168	158	161	154	150	120	2,353	
2001/02	331	277	252	223	215	232	190	195	191	183	191	177	159	2,816	463
2002/03	319	348	294	263	242	232	256	199	221	183	185	177	162	3,081	265
2003/04	445	353	378	323	285	259	246	262	223	246	197	201	187	3,605	524
2004/05	436	464	368	403	348	294	293	256	274	235	259	199	208	4,037	432
2005/06	520	461	485	390	422	360	310	316	263	297	251	258	213	4,546	509
2006/07	548	545	479	502	414	446	374	318	323	272	311	247	272	5,051	505
2007/08	555	575	549	507	509	426	449	367	321	316	283	312	250	5,419	368
2008/09	593	568	584	570	512	521	431	450	378	316	308	304	313	5,848	429
2009/10	586	594	551	593	566	520	525	438	448	381	309	301	295	6,107	259
2010/11	636	616	607	549	595	579	533	537	434	458	373	318	298	6,533	426
2011/12	684	639	616	608	572	596	578	523	522	435	460	380	306	6,919	386
2012/13	770	697	676	642	629	596	631	583	537	540	431	466	383	7,581	662
2013/14	738	775	743	681	664	640	627	639	586	545	537	447	480	8,102	521
2014/15	840	757	780	749	702	667	662	640	636	586	536	546	450	8,551	449
2015/16	852	869	781	818	772	718	681	703	642	635	595	539	550	9,155	604
2016/17	858	870	866	826	839	814	749	706	721	664	650	588	539	9,690	535
2017/18	889	886	888	898	864	860	818	776	728	734	670	665	618	10,294	604

Source: Iowa Department of Education (2000/01 to 2003/04) & Waukee Community School District (2004/05 to 2017/18)

### <u>What Does This Mean</u>

37

- Largest class in 2017/18 3<sup>rd</sup> grade (898)
- Smallest class in 2017/18 12<sup>th</sup> grade (618)
- Graduating senior class will likely be smaller than the next year incoming Kindergarten class

# **Past Enrollment Change**

#### **Enrollment Grade Change**

			к	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	Total
From	То	к	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	Change
2000/01	2001/02	71	17	19	25	18	12	29	22	23	25	30	23	9	463
2001/02	2002/03	-12	17	17	11	19	17	24	9	26	-8	2	-14	-15	265
2002/03	2003/04	126	34	30	29	22	17	14	6	24	25	14	16	10	524
2003/04	2004/05	-9	19	15	25	25	9	34	10	12	12	13	2	7	432
2004/05	2005/06	84	25	21	22	19	12	16	23	7	23	16	-1	14	509
2005/06	2006/07	28	25	18	17	24	24	14	8	7	9	14	-4	14	505
2006/07	2007/08	7	27	4	28	7	12	3	-7	3	-7	11	1	3	368
2007/08	2008/09	38	13	9	21	5	12	5	1	11	-5	-8	21	1	429
2008/09	2009/10	-7	1	-17	9	-4	8	4	7	-2	3	-7	-7	-9	259
2009/10	2010/11	50	30	13	-2	2	13	13	12	-4	10	-8	9	-3	426
2010/11	2011/12	48	3	0	1	23	1	-1	-10	-15	1	2	7	-12	386
2011/12	2012/13	86	13	37	26	21	24	35	5	14	18	-4	6	3	662
2012/13	2013/14	-32	5	46	5	22	11	31	8	3	8	-3	16	14	521
2013/14	2014/15	102	19	5	6	21	3	22	13	-3	0	-9	9	3	449
2014/15	2015/16	12	29	24	38	23	16	14	41	2	-1	9	3	4	604
2015/16	2016/17	6	18	-3	45	21	42	31	25	18	22	15	-7	0	535
2016/17	2017/18	31	28	18	32	38	21	4	27	22	13	6	15	30	604
3-Yr Avg		16.3	25.0	13.0	38.3	27.3	26.3	16.3	31.0	14.0	11.3	10.0	3.7	11.3	581.0
3-Yr Wavg		19.5	24.8	12.0	37.3	29.8	27.2	14.7	28.7	17.3	13.7	9.5	5.7	15.7	581.0

Source: Iowa Department of Education (2000/01 to 2003/04) & Waukee Community School District (2004/05 to 2017/18)

#### What Does This Mean

- Largest average class cohort increase 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> grade (38 students)
- Largest average class cohort decrease likely for each grade to increase year to year
- Propensity to have each cohort increase students from year to year in most grades



### **District Boundary**

- District Boundary (Purple Line)
- Major Streets
- Major water features & cultural features
- Municipality Limits (Color Shading)



## **Elementary Attendance**

- District Boundary (Purple Line)
- Major Streets
- Major water features & cultural features
- Municipality Limits (Color Shading)



### Middle Attendance

- District Boundary (Purple Line)
- Major Streets
- Major water features & cultural features
- Municipality Limits (Color Shading)



### **Grade Center Attendance**

- District Boundary (Purple Line)
- Major Streets
- Major water features & cultural features
- Municipality Limits (Color Shading)



### **Planning Areas**

- Land Use (Residential, Commercial, Industrial)
- **Residential Density** (Single-Family, Mobile Home, Duplex, Apartment)
- Natural Features (Rivers and Creeks)
- Manmade Features (Railroad and Streets)
- Attendance Area
- There are nearly <u>650</u> planning areas RSP monitors for demographic, development, and enrollment data sets



### **Detailed Planning Areas**

- Zoomed in view Planning Areas (Green Line)
- Displays the power of GIS data & Information
- See where students are located in relation to streets, subdivisions, and parcels.
- Illustrates how the planning areas are tied to development types at the parcel level



# "Heat" Density (Video)

- **Red** areas depict highest density of students, **Gray** as lowest student density
- Overlapping points (2 or more students) are handled using a weighting of coincident points
- This type of analysis can help
  with understanding student
  population and geographic
  proximity to schools



## **Student Density Change**

- Shows change in students relative to land area at each Planning Area from **2013/14** to **2017/18**
- Enrollment change is weighted by land area of each Planning Area to show density
- Orange areas experienced an increase since 2013/14
- Green areas experienced a decrease since 2013/14
  - White areas had no net change of students between **2013/14** and **2017/18**

# **Yield Rate**

#### **Enrollment Grade Level Change**

School	K to 5	6 to 9	10 to 12	K to 12	Total	K to 5	K to 5	6 to 9	6 to 9	10 to 12	10 to 12	K to 12	K to 12
Year	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Units	% Change	Yield Rate						
2000/01	1,269	660	424	2,353	6,509		19.5		10.1		6.5		36.1
2001/02	1,530	759	527	2,816	7,094	20.6%	21.6	15.0%	10.7	24.3%	7.4	19.7%	39.7
2002/03	1,698	859	524	3,081	7,741	11.0%	21.9	13.2%	11.1	-0.6%	6.8	9.4%	39.8
2003/04	2,043	977	585	3,605	8,955	20.3%	22.8	13.7%	10.9	11.6%	6.5	17.0%	40.3
2004/05	2,313	1,058	666	4,037	10,025	13.2%	23.1	8.3%	10.6	13.8%	6.6	12.0%	40.3
2005/06	2,638	1,186	722	4,546	11,002	14.1%	24.0	12.1%	10.8	8.4%	6.6	12.6%	41.3
2006/07	2,934	1,287	830	5,051	12,587	11.2%	23.3	8.5%	10.2	15.0%	6.6	11.1%	40.1
2007/08	3,121	1,453	845	5,419	13,271	6.4%	23.5	12.9%	10.9	1.8%	6.4	7.3%	40.8
2008/09	3,348	1,575	925	5,848	14,002	7.3%	23.9	8.4%	11.2	9.5%	6.6	7.9%	41.8
2009/10	3,410	1,792	905	6,107	14,650	1.9%	23.3	13.8%	12.2	-2.2%	6.2	4.4%	41.7
2010/11	3,582	1,962	989	6,533	15,967	5.0%	22.4	9.5%	12.3	9.3%	6.2	7.0%	40.9
2011/12	3,715	2,058	1,146	6,919	16,652	3.7%	22.3	4.9%	12.4	15.9%	6.9	5.9%	41.6
2012/13	4,010	2,291	1,280	7,581	17,710	7.9%	22.6	11.3%	12.9	11.7%	7.2	9.6%	42.8
2013/14	4,241	2,397	1,464	8,102	18,736	5.8%	22.6	4.6%	12.8	14.4%	7.8	6.9%	43.2
2014/15	4,495	2,524	1,532	8,551	20,446	6.0%	22.0	5.3%	12.3	4.6%	7.5	5.5%	41.8
2015/16	4,810	2,661	1,684	9,155	22,356	7.0%	21.5	5.4%	11.9	9.9%	7.5	7.1%	41.0
2016/17	5,073	2,840	1,777	9,690	23,116	5.5%	21.9	6.7%	12.3	5.5%	7.7	5.8%	41.9
2017/18	5,285	3,056	1,953	10,294	23,414	4.2%	22.6	7.6%	13.1	9.9%	8.3	6.2%	44.0

Source: Iowa Department of Education (2000/01 to 2003/04) & Waukee Community School District (2004/05 to 2017/18)

Note: Yield rate is number of students per 100 units

#### Table Explanation:

- In 2000/01 for every 100 units the district had about 36 K-12 students attending Waukee schools
- In 2017/18 for every 100 units the district had about 44 K-12 students attending Waukee schools (Increase of 8 students)
- The inventory has increased by nearly 17,000 units (+260%)
- The type of residential housing product influences the amount of students attending Waukee schools

7 Does not include Early Childhood, Home School, Private School, or Parochial School

# **Yield Rate by Attendance and Type**

# Single Family (SF)

School	Year													
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Brookview Elementary	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.43	0.38	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.29
Eason Elementary	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.38	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.31
Grant Ragan Elementary	0.29	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.32
Maple Grove Elementary	0.28	0.29	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.35	0.37	0.37
Shuler Elementary	0.31	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.39	0.41	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.39
Walnut Hills Elementary	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.34	0.38	0.40	0.40	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.39
Waukee Elementary	0.31	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.27	0.30
Woodland Hills Elementary	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.21	0.24	0.25

- More students reside in single-family housing inventory
- Each attendance area has seen an increase in the MF yield rate

Source: Waukee Community School District and Dallas County

### Multi-Family (MF)

School		Year												
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Brookview Elementary	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09
Eason Elementary	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.09	0.12	0.12
Grant Ragan Elementary	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11
Maple Grove Elementary	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.11
Shuler Elementary	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.05
Walnut Hills Elementary	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
Waukee Elementary	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.11
Woodland Hills Elementary	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09

Source: Waukee Community School District and Dallas County



### Year Built (video)

- Reveals the clusters of where residential development has occurred
- Some new areas do not necessarily lead to similar yield rates of like developments
- New residential development has begun to happen outside the district boundary
- Colors of dots represent a specific year according to Dallas County Assessor's Office



### **Growth Areas**

- Identifies where development activity is happening (**Green**)
- Identifies possible areas that could develop (Yellow and **Purple**)
- The market and property owner desire to build guides the timing of development
- Other properties not shown might develop while some shown might not develop

# **Population, Development, Enrollment**



Source: Dallas County, Waukee Community School District, and RSP

### **Graphic Explanation**

- Census data indicates the area has an increasing population
- Student Enrollment growth varies each year not necessarily follow Census population estimate or building trends
- Building activity has been stable but has decreased in the last couple of years

### What Does This Mean

- The new households moving into the District similar to less than past yield rates for children to attend school
- With development more likely to be MF projects over the next five years enrollment trends likely similar to current outcome
- Older areas of the community are in the subdivision life cycle to potentially have more children than in the past

Enrollment provided by the district

Does not include Early Childhood, Home School, Private School, or Parochial School

# **Projection Accuracy**

# **Elementary**

- Projected: 5,276
- Actual: 5,285
- Accuracy: 99.8%

# **Middle School**

- Projected: 3,051
- Actual: 3,056
- Accuracy: 99.8%



# <u>High School</u>

- Projected: 1,904
- Actual: 1,953
- Accuracy: **97.5**%

# **District**

- Projected: 10,231
- Actual: 10,294
- Accuracy: **99.4**%

### Notes:

- This accuracy is the 1<sup>st</sup> year of the 2016/17 RSP Projections
- Demographic shifts with millennials impacting future enrollment (Jobs, Jobs, Jobs)
- Many areas of the community having significant demographic shifts influencing changes in enrollment (type of households not generating similar yield rates of students
- A good portion of analysis spent on what is happening at the elementary and middle school grades to determine if there are emerging trends

# Long Range Projection Accuracy



Actual enrollment provided by Waukee School District, Projections provided by RSP Midpoint Projections

### <u>What Does This Mean</u>

- As the projection year gets closer, propensity for an increase in accuracy is likely
- 17/18 is the first year where five year projections can been seen, Projections conducted in 12/13 had an accuracy of 99% for the 17/18 school year
- RSP has maintained an accuracy of 99.4% over the course of 15 projected years

# **Past, Current, & Future Enrollment**



#### <u>What Does This Mean</u>

- The above enrollment totals are Kdg to 12<sup>th</sup> grade (ES +19.3%, MS: +36.3%, HS: +44.0%, District : +29.1%)
- The district annual increase is between 500 and 650 students (+5.0% and +5.5%)
- The future grade configuration will influence the timing of additional capacity

#### Enrollment provided by the district

54 Does not include Early Childhood, Home School, Private School, or Parochial School

# **Elementary Projections**

School	School	Student	Past School Enrollment			Projections Based on Residence					
	Capacity	Location	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	
Brookview Elementary		Reside/Attend			653						
K to 5th	725	Reside	564	630	663	681	700	707	711	716	
		Attend	567	622	656						
Eason Elementary		Reside/Attend			581						
K to 5th	675	Reside	603	629	634	637	638	621	623	619	
		Attend	564	576	583						
Grant Ragan		Reside/Attend			673						
K to 5th	750	Reside	0	652	677	787	902	1,012	1,123	1,228	
		Attend	0	661	679						
Maple Grove Elementary		Reside/Attend			641						
K to 5th	750	Reside	711	656	644	627	629	636	640	656	
		Attend	735	708	704						
Shuler Elementary		Reside/Attend			679						
K to 5th	750	Reside	781	680	681	697	704	715	728	726	
		Attend	784	688	685						
Walnut Hills Elementary		Reside/Attend			656						
PreK to 5th	750	Reside	876	591	664	661	660	651	650	658	
		Attend	878	587	658						
Waukee Elementary		Reside/Attend			746						
PreK to 5th	750	Reside	720	698	752	763	792	825	845	873	
		Attend	730	694	754						
Woodland Hills Elementary		Reside/Attend			563						
PreK to 5th	750	Reside	555	537	570	610	657	705	762	830	
		Attend	552	537	566						
ELEMENTARY TOTAL											
K to 5th	5,900	Reside	4,810	5,073	5,285	5,463	5,682	5,872	6,082	6,306	
		Attend	4,810	5,073	5,285						

Source: RSP & Associates, LLC - January 2018

Note 1: Student Projections are based on the residence of the student.



Note 2: The Enrollment Model is based on a Head count of students by Planning Area at each school

Note 3: Transfers between schools are not factored into the Projections

Note 4: The Enrollment Model assumes ES(K-5) MS(6-7 and 8-9) and HS (10-12)

Note 5: Each planning area is assigned the 2014/15 attendance area - Vince Meyer Learning Center currently not being utilized

Note 6: Woodland Hills ES opened in 2013/14 and Timberline MS opens in 2015/16 - 2014/15 Reside Enrollment based on current attendance area assignment

Note 7: Grant Ragan opened 2016/17, Timberline MS opening allowed the district to again have the grade configuration of K-5, 6-7, 8-9, 10-12

Note 8: School capacity provided by the District

Note 9: Reside is based on the student home address

Note 10: Attend is based on which facility the student attends

Note 11: Reside/Attend are the students who reside in the attendance area that they have chosen to attend

55

# **Secondary Projections**

School	School	Student	Past	School Enrol	lment	Projections Based on Residence					
	Capacity	Location	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	
Waukee Middle School		Reside/Attend			800						
6th and 7th	932	Reside	688	745	811	899	953	1,004	1,076	1,142	
		Attend	698	720	804						
Waukee South Middle School		Reside/Attend			779						
6th and 7th	1,000	Reside	696	710	783	826	865	915	959	964	
		Attend	687	735	790						
Prairieview Middle School		Reside/Attend			722						
8th and 9th	1,016	Reside	619	704	757	789	858	952	1,016	1,074	
		Attend		679	728						
Timberline Middle School		Reside/Attend			699						
8th and 9th	1,047	Reside	658	681	705	739	828	882	922	986	
		Attend		706	734						
Waukee High School											
10th to 12th	2,000	Reside	1,684	1,777	1,953	2,090	2,199	2,381	2,580	2,813	
		Attend	1,683	1,777	1,953						
ELEMENTARY TOTAL											
K to 5th	5,900	Reside	4,810	5,073	5,285	5,463	5,682	5,872	6,082	6,306	
		Attend	4,810	5,073	5,285						
MIDDLE TOTAL											
6th to 9th	3,995	Reside	2,003	2,840	3,056	3,253	3,504	3,753	3,973	4,166	
		Attend	2,001	2,840	3,056						
HIGH TOTAL											
10th to 12th	2,000	Reside	1,684	1,777	1,953	2,090	2,199	2,381	2,580	2,813	
		Attend	1,683	1,777	1,953						
DISTRICT TOTALS											
K to 12th	11,895	Reside	8,497	9,690	10,294	10,806	11,385	12,006	12,635	13,285	
		Attend	8,494	9,690	10,294						

Source: RSP & Associates, LLC - January 2018

Over School Capacity

Note 1: Student Projections are based on the residence of the student.

Note 2: The Enrollment Model is based on a Head count of students by Planning Area at each school

Note 3: Transfers between schools are not factored into the Projections

Note 4: The Enrollment Model assumes ES(K-5) MS(6-7 and 8-9) and HS (10-12)

Note 5: Each planning area is assigned the 2014/15 attendance area - Vince Meyer Learning Center currently not being utilized

Note 6: Woodland Hills ES opened in 2013/14 and Timberline MS opens in 2015/16 - 2014/15 Reside Enrollment based on current attendance area assignment

Note 7: Grant Ragan opened 2016/17, Timberline MS opening allowed the district to again have the grade configuration of K-5, 6-7, 8-9, 10-12

Note 8: School capacity provided by the District

Note 9: Reside is based on the student home address

Note 10: Attend is based on which facility the student attends

56 Note 11: Reside/Attend are the students who reside in the attendance area that they have chosen to attend

# **Key Things About the District**

### **Enrollment:**

Projected to increase over the next five years, nearly 3,000 students (+29.1%)

- Elementary >+1,000 students (+19.3%)
- Middle School > 1,100 students (+36,3%)
- High School > 850 students (+44.0%)

## **Capacity:**

Pressure is alleviated at the elementary when Radiant opens in 19/20, at the high school when HS #2 opens in 2021/22. At the building level the following challenges happen at the following schools:

- Grant Ragan Elementary (2018/19 to 2022/23)
- Waukee Elementary (2018/19 to 2022/23)
- Woodland Hills Elementary (2021/22 to 2022/23)
- Waukee Middle School (2019/20 to 2022/23)
- Prairieview Middle School (2022/23)
- Waukee High School (2018/19 to 2022/23) (Nearly 600 over when HS #2 planned to open)

### **Development:**

Significant areas of vacant land that when they are developed will have a drastic effect on future enrollment

# The Starting Point (Activity 3)

# It is important to understand the complexity of the boundary process.

- At your table look at the large map which has the location of each school and the K-5 heat density of students.
- There are markers on the table to draw or write things on the map
- With the limited information or experiences you may have draw where you think elementary attendance areas should be considered
- Each table will report out what was discussed and/or drawn on the map





(There is always a beginning which will lead to an ending)

# **Grade Configuration and Capacity**

#### Waukee Community Schools Enrollment (K-5, 6-7, 8-9, 10-12)

School Year	# ES	# MS	# HS	K-5 Enrollment	6-9 Enrollment	10-12 Enrollment	Total K-12 Enrollment
2018/19 School Year	8	4	1	5,463	3,253	2,090	10,806
2019/20 School Year	9	4	1	5,682	3,504	2,199	11,385
2020/21 School Year	9	4	1	5,872	3,753	2,381	12,006
2021/22 School Year	9	4	1	6,082	3,973	2,580	12,635
2022/23 School Year	9	4	2	6,306	4,166	2,813	13,285

Source: RSP & Associates 2017/18 Projection Model

#### Notes:

Grade Configuration illustrated as K-5, 6-7, 8-9, 10-12

ES Capacity is 6,650 when ES #9 opens as a 750 student capacity

MS Capacity is 4,000 regardless of grade configuration at the four middle schools

HS Capacity is 4,000 when 2nd HS opens in 2021/22 if it is a 2,000 student capacity

#### **Current Grade Configuration:**

- Elementary Plan for Radiant ES 2019/20
- Middle School Over MS capacity in 2022/23
- High School Plan for new HS 2021/22

#### Waukee Community Schools Enrollment (K-5, 6-8, 9-12) School Year 9-12 Enrollment Total K-12 Enrollment # ES # MS # HS K-5 Enrollment 6-8 Enrollment 2018/19 School Year 8 4 1 5,463 2,515 2,828 10,806 2019/20 School Year 2.680 3.023 9 4 1 5.682 11.385 2020/21 School Year 9 1 5.872 2,853 3,281 12,006 4 3,000 2021/22 School Year 9 1 6,082 3,553 12,635 4 2022/23 School Year 9 4 2 6.306 13.285 3.158 3.821

Source: RSP & Associates 2017/18 Projection Model

#### Notes:

Grade Configuration illustrated as K-5, 6-8, 9-12

ES Capacity is 6,650 when ES #9 opens as a 750 student capacity

MS Capacity is 4,000 regardless of grade configuration at the four middle schools

HS Capacity is 4,000 when 2nd HS opens in 2021/22 if it is a 2,000 student capacity

#### <u>New Grade Configuration:</u>

- Elementary Plan for Radiant ES 2019/20
- Middle School Adequate capacity
- High School Plan for new HS 2021/22

# **Grade Configuration and Capacity**

#### **Board Decision:**

If a break in the feeder is needed (Likely will happen in the future), the Board supports the break occurring from the elementary school to the middle school

#### Listed below are the comments from the June 2017 BOE grade configuration and capacity discussion:

- Keep ESL programming in mind
- Staffing/programming for kids
- Focus on academic programming for kids throughout the district
- Consider students that change addresses (move to a different address in the district)
- Grade level configuration will affect choices
- Have/have not perceptions
- Neighborhood concept must be kept in mind
- Timing of additional middle school capacity
- Don't set up a system that incentivizes coaches/teachers to stay at a certain building
- Make sure people understand what "neighborhood" means in relationship to boundary process

# **Feeder System #1**

I have experience in a broken feeder system (Student, Parent, and/or Teacher):



# Feeder System #2

# Based on what I know today, I believe the top three reasons for maintaining a complete feeder pattern are:

- A. Continued student relationships
- B. Balance in student diversity
- C. Efficiency in building utilization
- D. Geographic proximity to a school
- E. Parent Involvement opportunities
- F. Academic programming opportunities
- G. Extracurricular opportunities









27.2%

# **Grade Configuration #1**

Based on what I know today, I support the following grade configuration:

A. K-5, 6-7, 8-9, 10-12 53.7% 46.3% Β. K-5, 6-8, 9-12 Board providing committee the opportunity to only explore these grade configurations

Α.

Β.

Committee Response 01/30/18

# Based on what I know today, I believe the top three reasons for the grade configuration are:

Grade Configuration #2

- A. Continued student relationships
- B. Balance in student diversity
- C. Efficiency in building utilization
- D. Geographic proximity to a school
- E. Parent Involvement opportunities
- F. Academic programming opportunities
- G. Extracurricular opportunities

You can choose up to three answers

# Committee Response 01/30/18





# **Part Four:** Moving Forward



# **Final Question**

The information presented was:

A. Too Fast

- B. Just Right
- C. Too Slow



# **Committee Response 01/30/18**

# **Public Forum Information**

### **Public Forum: February 13, 2018**

- Sign Up Sheet: Being passed around
- **Expectations:** Committee Members Attend to hear community input
- Public Forum Format: Brief Presentation about Process, Guiding Principles and Boundary Criteria than a break out to small groups for discussion
- Goal of Public Forum: Hear and Collect patron input on their concerns, challenges and/or outlook for the opening of Radiant Elementary and the 2<sup>nd</sup> comprehensive high school



### Complete your parking lot information and place on the Parking Lot

This can include additional information you will need in the process

### Next Public Forum: February 13, 2018

Preliminary Agenda: Process/Guiding Principles/Boundary Criteria

### Next Committee Meeting: March 20, 2018

Preliminary Agenda: Scenario Development

### Homework:

<u>**Part 1**</u> - Talk about what you have discussed with other members in the community, listen to their ideas and provide those responses at the next committee meeting

### Part 2 – District Tour

- Drive to elementary schools look at the types of housing, road configuration around the area
- What is the proximity of developments to a school?

Note	<b>2</b> 5		
		•	